

As a social "gay" phenomenon, homosexuality is a **CULT**. As all cults, it consists of

- overt and covert mind control.
- propagandizing with fabrications, prevarications, distortions, and other cognitive deficiencies.
- inappropriate and unjust hallelujah-blurt-out proclamations.
- overt and covert recruitment.
- conscienceless irrefutable despicable labelling of deceased persons to be cult members.
- overt and covert sexualization of everything.
- unscrupulous scheming desensitization.
- maudlin "poor us" victim manipulations.
- flamboyant parading of ritual grotesqueries.
- end-of-the-world scare (AIDS) sceneries.
- negative outcomes and pathological denial of such.
- hostile desecration of religion and dehumanized defamation of those who refuse agreement.
- attacking of former cult member's groups which support and help those who have broken or may break free of the cult.
- condemnation of treatment and prohibition of leaving the cult.

As a social phenomenon, the cult is a touch of "Jonestown" in your community.

HOMOSEXUALITY: A DISEASE AND FOR GAYS A CULT

by Samuel A. Nigro, M.D.

Second Printing April 1994
Copyright 1994 by
Samuel A. Nigro, M.D.
P.O. Box 18004
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118

sam@docnigro.com

All Rights Reserved

Published by
SOCIAL JUSTICE REVIEW
Central Bureau, CCVA
3835 Westminster place
St. Louis MO 63108

Printed by the
St. Martin de Porres Lay Dominican Community

[2019 digital version]

Table of Contents

Homosexuality: A Disease and For Gays A Cult.....	4
A Potpourri of Data.....	5
Paraphilias and Related Sexual Impulse Disorders.....	7
Developmental Background.....	7
Kinsey's Sexual Outlets.....	8
In Subhuman Animals?.....	9
Physiopsychopathology.....	10
Psychophysopathology.....	11
Cultural Reinforcement ("Messages").....	14
Recruitment.....	15
Cognitive Dysfunction.....	18
Loved Ones.....	18
Gay Pride.....	19
Marriage.....	20
What Is Not Understood.....	21
Political Correctness and Response.....	22
Organizations.....	23
Fabrications.....	24
The Practice of Veiled Victimhood.....	24
Disease State and a Cult.....	27
Treatment.....	28
Closing Remarks.....	29
Transcendental Analysis.....	29
Individual vs. Gay Phenomenon (Cult).....	30
References.....	31

Homosexuality: A Disease and For Gays A Cult

Homosexuality was decreed to be normal in 1973 by the American Psychiatric Association.

The impact has been negative. Since that declaration, society is in worse shape because of it's being normal than it has been for five thousand years during which homosexuality was considered to be a disease.

By 1983, after ten years of "homosexuality as normal," AIDS began to pervade the world. In the first ten years of AIDS, thousands of young men died and billions of dollars were spent for treatment and efforts to prevent AIDS which is primarily caused by the homosexual disease. (Obviously, the prevention efforts are backwards. The prevention of AIDS is most efficiently, effectively and inexpensively done by preventing, treating and controlling the homosexual disease.)

By 1994, after twenty-one years of "homosexuality as normal," it is no longer a private, personal disease to which people can adapt or adjust, but it is now a cult: the Gay and Lesbian Movement ("gay" for short).

Homosexuality has become a cult of gross sexual anarchy which betrays humanity and distorts the marvelous and precious reproductive process of nature's origin. The cult converts sexual activity into an anti-animal-kingdom pollutant to the planet. In twenty-one years of "homosexuality as normal," the homosexual cult has taken over the Democratic Party. The homosexual cult has taken over the news industry. Journalism is a homosexual picnic. The homosexual cult has taken over the media and entertainment industry. The homosexual cult has taken over the National Education Association and many universities and other educational institutions. The homosexual cult has taken over some churches. The homosexual cult has taken over many courts and legal jurisdictions. The homosexual cult has infiltrated and attempted to take over many other institutions such as the Boy Scouts, the Girl Scouts, and *Sports Illustrated*.

The cult did this by redefining homosexuality from a disease state to a normal variant of life-style. It changed its name from "the homosexual disease" to "the gay movement." Nevertheless five thousand years of a disease has not seen as much suffering as twenty-one years of the gay movement.

An interesting aspect of homophiles (people seduced by the cult into believing that all criticizers of homosexuality are hateful or irrational) is their strong tendency to deny criticizers the same consideration they give to the cult: A ready ear, an open mind, a chance to learn, and a claim to be tolerant and peaceful. Regardless, please read. As immature youths often deny their diabetes, epilepsy, or other disorder until compassionately confronted, so homosexuals too must be so challenged if their disease

state is to be appropriately cared for and not allowed to become a public health problem. Please read all this pamphlet.

Throughout this essay, try as I have, it is difficult to maintain the distinction between the homosexual person and the homosexual phenomenon. This distinction is essential. The homosexual person requires our acceptance and help, while the homosexual phenomenon requires our understanding and rejection especially the gay agenda which as cult, attempts to impose itself on society. The person we must love. The phenomenon we must hate.

They are not the same; Refuse to make them equivalent. As you shall see, it is difficult to maintain this distinction. I will give

1. a potpourri of data about homosexuality;
2. describe the paraphilias and related sexual impulse disorders;
3. provide an overview of developmental background for homosexuality;
4. list cultural reinforcements for it;
5. detail the recruitment phenomenon;
6. describe the cognitive dysfunction present;
7. mention political correctness and possible responses,
8. define homosexuality as a disease state and for gays a cult;
9. touch on treatment; and
10. make some closing remarks.

A Potpourri of Data

Just how many are there?

In December, 1992, the British scientific journal Nature presented a study of 18,876 people of which "3.6% (males) reported ever having a homosexual partner and 1.4% (males) reported a partner in the past five years." Another 1992 study from France revealed that 4.1% men and 2.6% women reported at least one occurrence of same sex intercourse during their lifetime; in the five years prior to the study, the proportions were respectively 1.4% men and 0.4% women, while in the previous year, the percentage was 1.1% men and 0.3% women.

A National Opinion Research Center, University of Chicago survey in 1989 of the general population at large concluded "... that 3% have not been sexually active as adults, 91-93% have been exclusively heterosexual, 5-6% bisexual, and less than 1% exclusively homosexual." In the year preceding the survey, 98.4% of sexually active adults had been exclusively heterosexual. Only .7% said they had been exclusively homosexual since the age 18.

A 1991 survey of 36,714 Minnesota teenagers reported that only 1.5% of the males and 1.1% of the females were bisexual or homosexual.

From the Batelle Human Affairs Research Center of Seattle, another 1991 national survey of 3,321 men between the ages of 20 to 39 found that 2.3% admitted to same sex experiences in the prior ten years, while only 1.1% said they were exclusively homosexual.

So how many are there? Probably 1-2% of the population is a fair best number.

Death data is interesting in that a Family Research Institute study of over 6,700 obituaries in sixteen homosexual newspapers in the United States found that the median age of homosexual men dying of AIDS was 39 years old. The median age of death for other homosexual men, from all other causes, was only 41 years old, and often linked to suicide and violent death. It had been written that 30% of homosexuals between the ages of 14 and 21 had attempted suicide. It has been estimated that less than 3% of all practicing homosexuals live to over 55 years old.

The exclusiveness of homosexuality can be questioned in that over 90% of homosexual men have dated women at sometime and over 2/3 have had heterosexual intercourse.

Partnership stability is interesting. Lesbians are said to have less than 10 partners in their lifetime, while male homosexuals in the United States are said to average over 500. That the male homosexual tends to be pleasure seeking is an understatement. While into the opposite sex despite denying it, the *choice* is for homosexuality, most likely because of the variety and intensity achieved, and because this way of life becomes habitual and addicting.

Most homosexuals are not underprivileged. Fifty percent earn over \$55,000 a year. Nineteen percent over \$100,000. Seventy percent are college educated. Ninety-seven percent are employed in some capacity. Fifty percent are in managerial or professional status versus sixteen percent for nonhomosexuals. Homosexuals have their own exclusive media: Fifty-one magazines and newspapers; twenty-three different television programs; and nine radio stations. And, seventy-nine percent of homosexuals travel at least four times a year. In spite of all this, they profess themselves to be disadvantaged victims.

In summary, homosexuals have plenty of everything except respect which cannot be wholesale purchased, politically imposed, morally legislated or sub-culturally demanded. None of what homosexuals have, including money, good jobs, extra vacations, press and media protection, legislated moral sanitizing, or political protection, can really meet their needs and desires. Something within creates a dissatisfaction or an "inferiority" sense which is not going to go away.

Paraphilias and Related Sexual Impulse Disorders

To understand homosexuality, it is helpful to know how sexual disorders are defined. There are two types, paraphilia and paraphilia related disorders.

A paraphilia is a disorder of at least six months presence of recurrent intense sexually arousing fantasies, urges and activities deviant from cultural norms and which the person has acted on or is markedly distressed by. The forms are exhibitionism, voyeurism, pedophilia, transvestitism, frotteurism, sexual masochism, sexual sadism, and fetishes. This type of arousal may interfere with reciprocal sexual and affectionate activity to varying degrees.

Paraphilia-related disorder is of at least six months presence of recurrent intense sexually arousing fantasies, urges and activities that are culturally sanctioned but are carried out with a frequency or intensity that preclude or disrupt reciprocal affectionate and sexual activity. These are culturally accepted to some extent, and in them are included compulsive masturbation, protracted promiscuity, and dependence on pornography.

Homosexuals do not fit into either category *purely and solely* because homosexuality is just not listed as a disorder - an arbitrary exclusion by the American Psychiatric Association in an abuse of tradition, science, and common sense and instituted for political reasons. Homosexuality can easily be placed in both categories. Parades and art shows reveal epidemics of paraphilic homosexuals.

Developmental Background

So what is homosexuality if it is dogmatically decreed not to be a paraphilia or sex impulse disorder? Is it a genetic disorder? Does having a genetic basis make it acceptable and untreatable? If a violent gene is discovered, would that make Hitler or Stalin or any other's violence acceptable? Is it learned behavior? Is it physical-chemical? And, does psychosocial adaptive tolerance by society render it to be objectively normal? *Can the rationalizations defending homosexuality as normal also be said about alcoholism and other disorders?*

Kinsey's Sexual Outlets

Whatever, homosexuality has been removed from the psychopathology category by the American Psychiatric Association in 1973. The normalizing of homosexuality and most of the other nonreproductive sexual activities in our culture was begun by an anti-intellectual definition proposed in Alfred Kinsey's landmark study of men in 1948. Kinsey essentially redefined sexuality not as a sexual phenomenon in nature, but as an orgasmic process for males.

In all nature, sexuality has to do with the identity of male and female in a reproductive, unitive relationship. However, Kinsey, without saying so, redefined sexuality as a depersonalized physiologic reflex which really has nothing to do with sex except in the most basic anatomical way. He studied what he called "sexual outlets." This had never been done before by someone with credentials. Sex had always been considered as a total reproductive, unitive phenomenon. But with Kinsey, slithered into our every day awareness and consciousness was the concept that sex is merely an ejaculatory type of reflex. Kinsey basically counted how many times people had "sexual outlets" (or ejaculations), and by this he dehumanized and denaturalized the process of sex by defining it as neither reproductive nor unitive but as a neurophysiologic reflex to be stimulated, repeated, promoted and counted. Period. This is comparable to defining the vomiting of bulimics to be the most important subtask of eating.

Kinsey also added a seven point continuum scale of sexual orientation of which zero is exclusively heterosexual and six is exclusively homosexual. The numbers (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) in between represented degrees of bisexuality. Applying his continuum to humanity, no bell-shaped curve is present, but resulting is a feeble J shaped distribution with almost 100% being heterosexual. While this continuum is totally inappropriate to apply to any other member of the animal kingdom, Kinsey imposes it as if it had some validity beyond that of astrology or epicycles. Kinsey's six point scale does, however, give a pseudo-scientific approach to dealing with sexual matters consistent with his own definition of sex as "sexual outlets," meaning ejaculations.

However, the cultural impact of Kinsey's interpretation has been far-reaching, producing psychologically unconscious acceptance. It is readily accepted by men who are eager, as are all males in the animal kingdom, to find outlets. Of no small interest is that Kinsey also imposed the sexual outlet phenomenon on human females making them to be unlike all the other females in the animal kingdom. Contraception and abortion especially allow this to occur because the normal consequences of natural sexual activity as it applies to the entire animal kingdom are thwarted. In the final analysis, women are encouraged to act like men about sex, or at least about "sexual outlets."

Focusing on sexual outlets, man has separated sex from procreation and converted it into a matter of orgasm. When the outlet is a matter of orgasm only, then it is separated from gender. If it becomes degenderized, the outlet is separated from humanity. If dehumanized, the sex outlet is a matter for subhuman animals and inanimate objects, thus becoming denaturalized from nature and life on the planet. In no other creature on earth is the "sexual outlet" phenomenon of significance or interest. In a sexual outlet culture, with contraception and abortion, anything goes with anybody or anything. Such is especially so, if a meaningless concept of "freedom" is applied to this process.

In all nature, males are consistently on the prowl for sex. In a real sense, the "sexual outlet" approach is the norm for males. But, it is generally offset in nature by the female of the species limiting sexual activity to a more productive and socially significant aspect of species survival and living arrangements. Consistent with humans' anti-Natural Law propensity to pollute and do almost anything possible against the planet, the "sexual outlet" concept has become a cultural norm. Resulting is a total masculinization of the human species wherein homosexuality is nothing more than a quick outlet phenomenon for males, confirmed by the fact that males are the predominant numbers to become homosexual and 95% of the paraphilias also occur in males. This has rendered human "maleness" as Kinsey promoted it (ejaculation) to be a pollutant not in nature as such.

In Subhuman Animals?

Does homosexuality occur in subhuman animals? An objective, dispassionate look at homosexuality in the animal kingdom requires unscientific intellectual warping and fabrication to claim homosexuality to be a mere "variant," of what is natural sexuality on the planet.

In this quest to "be part of nature," homosexual advocates search far and wide and have found as allies fruit flies at one extreme and simian hunting behaviors at another. Homophiles impose predetermined conclusions often in this misuse of science. Even the classical sexual mounting "lordosis" posturing of the receptive animal is probably a tactile reflex delicately reconditioned by the prestidigitation of the researcher's hand as well as by the confused crowding of the animals. Yes, same-sexed animals will mount each other, but the only one receiving attention is the one considered deviant, so to speak. Receiving the attention will be the one on the bottom if male, and the one on top if female, while the male on top of the male and the female under the female get ignored. Still, nothing of a homosexual nature in subhuman animals can be found as a variant of mating. There is never same-sexed subhuman animal nest or den building and exclusive habitation. Having more allegiance to nature and the planet than humans, subhuman animals do not have the sexual outlet disorder, or else the poor animals would have been studied, photographed,

and paraded through every medium possible to sell things, no doubt. The sexual outlet disorder which we know as homosexuality is clearly limited to humans.

Physiopsychopathology

There is a biological component to homosexuality, and there are five areas of pathophysiology.

First is a dysregulation of sex impulses. Second is a dysregulation of appetite, both in terms of sexual appetite and eating food. (It is interesting to note that 95% of eating disorders are in women as a mirror to the 95% of sexual disorders being in men.) Third, there is a body image distortion and misperception. Fourth, there is a dysregulation of satiety in terms of the capacity to obtain satisfaction (in whatever) leading to obsessive or repetitive-compulsive phenomena. This satiety dysregulation has a strange bodily component of "never satisfied massage" for lack of a better description. This appears to be an insatiable need for persistent massaging of body parts to a degree which is a masturbatory equivalent. Thus the back of the throat and especially the anus become sexualized in a feel good, cannot stop fashion. Finally, there is a cognitive distortion present in individuals with disorders of sex impulse, appetite regulation, body image, satiety, and homosexuality.

Studying any of these disorders is difficult because one cannot maintain isolation of the physiology from the psychology and vice versa. Biological mechanisms cannot be excluded any more than psychological mechanisms, including those of the observer, with all confounded further by the emotional and social-cultural components to which one has been exposed.

At times neurobiologic mechanisms are clearly involved. One stunning example in the psychopharmacologic literature is a 23 year old male homosexual with anxiety and depression who did not want his sexual orientation to be a treatment issue. He had always been shy, always had erotic fantasies of only a homosexual nature since his mid-teens, had never experienced heterosexual intercourse. He had always been overly concerned about the impression he made on others. All his life he was teased by male peers, often being called faggot. He never tried to date. He had extreme anxiety and blushing in social situations and he drank to control his anxiety. He was seeking help because of social phobia and rejection sensitivity linked to what was thought to be an atypical depression. He was placed on phenelzine, a special type of anti depressant. After the fourth week on the medication, he was more outgoing, talkative and comfortable in social situations. He spoke spontaneously in groups without blushing. He reported a positive, pleasurable experience of meeting and dating a woman. And during the next two months he did nothing but date women exclusively and began to have heterosexual intercourse which he

enjoyed. He lost all sexual interest in males. He desired a wife and a family, and his sexual fantasies became entirely heterosexual. In this example, there were neurobiological mechanisms at work for which treatment was offered and serendipitously was effective in reversing not only the anxiety and depression but the homosexuality. Of no small interest is that the faggot labeling and other antihomosexual ("gay bashing") messages which he received had no bearing on the outcome, contrary to the emphasis the homosexual community pretends as having detrimental impact.

There are scientific limits to what one can gather from the biological studies comparing homosexuals and heterosexuals. Often there are no controls, such as in the recent 1992 report of a suprachiasmatic nucleus size difference between homosexual and heterosexual men, i.e. the study was of little value because the homosexual men had died of AIDS while the heterosexuals had not (and HIV is a neurotropic virus capable of affecting neurological tissue). The presence or absence of differences in brain tissue between alcoholics and nonalcoholics does not mean that alcoholism is a normal style which does not need help.

Hormone studies are interesting. Attempts to correlate homosexual and heterosexual outcome with sex hormones of estrogen, progesterone, luteinizing hormone, androgens, and other steroids are interesting but not clarifying. Social conditioning is difficult to control and can override the physiological and neurological expectations.

There is an interesting hormone phenomenon described in kindred studies in the Dominican Republic called guevoadoce, which literally means "penis at twelve." Essentially, these children are born without phallic structures and are raised as girls. When the hormonal surge of puberty occurs, the penis begins to grow and it becomes obvious that these individuals are males. Having been raised prepubertally as girls, they are now treated as boys and appear to have little difficulty adjusting. Herein, the hormonal dimensions can be emphasized as being primary, i.e. the male hormones click in and the twelve year old "girl" child turns into a young man. On the other hand, the entire family suddenly switches gears too and begins to treat the individual clearly as a male rather than a female. What is amazing, however, is that the change can be made and is made. So much for being born that way ... So much more for being able to change. So much more for promoting males as males and females as females. Obviously, social conditioning can be effective and preventive.

Psychophysiology

Human behavior, whether biological or psychological, can evolve maladaptively, resulting in behaviors that society will decide as normal or abnormal depending upon the theory of existence espoused. And, perhaps the theory of existence espoused may also

provoke neurophysiologic changes itself. Certainly, pathophysiological changes can occur in the brain following psychological trauma, deprivations and distortions. For example, in sex abuse and post traumatic stress disorder, biochemical brain lesions have been linked to conditioning based upon fear, trauma and anxiety, resulting in flash backs, depression, withdrawal, and hyperarousal. There can be avoidance or seeking of stimuli, and there can be numbing of general responsiveness and distortion of perception. Also linked to this can be the phenomenon of repetition-compulsion where people must seek that which was originally avoided; this is a counter-phobic attempt to control, come to grips with and master a troubling, stressful situation but one ends up repeating it over and over. Any of these mechanisms can become linked to sexualization and personal identity. Obviously, psychological experiences can affect one's brain chemistry and vice versa.

Studies of children as related to adult sexual identity are interesting. The spectrum of behavior presented by little boys and girls can be gender grouped to a great extent. Ignoring gender, gender identity is considered established fairly convincingly by age 3 in humans in response to rearing and sex assignment social factors. Without a doubt, social factors are important in reinforcing the natural biological gender of newborns, and it should not be forgotten that the socialization given to youths begins on the day of birth. The brain quadruples in size from birth, with three-fourths of the brain developing in the first two years of life, most of the remaining one-fourth during years two to four. While the brain quadruples, it learns a lot. It incorporates much into its chemistry. During this time, as the human brain evolves, what is seemingly innate, has often been learned. As an example from nature, the calls of some birds are known to be learned only during a very limited period of brain development some time in the first several weeks. If the calls of another species (wrong calls) are heard during that period, they will learn the non species behavior instead. Similarly perhaps gender nonconformity in children is a temporary equivalent to non-species learning.

Gender nonconformity especially in male children's play has been said to be associated with the emergence of homosexual orientation. This seems unlikely because a significant number of heterosexual men also exhibited such behavior as children, and it is known that a significant portion of homosexual men did not demonstrate childhood gender nonconformity.

Atypical gender toy play has been reported to be linked to homosexuality, but this is probably a subtle response, not only to biological propensity, but also to random play statistically distributed and, more importantly, to subtle affectional needs of the child not being met because of unrecognized family stresses and in atypicality ("wrong calls") within the family itself.

My own observations of child atypicality link devastating blows to the family integrity which are not recognized as such, seemingly taken in stride which still alter the family dynamics and interactions such that the child is covertly stressed. During early

development, the child, like the bird, is not receiving the best adaptive gender or species messages. The quadrupling brain absorbs them. The basic identity is now in doubt, with affectional needs met in other ways that get attention. Without spoiling the child, it is essential for normal psychosexual development that the child receive adequate affection from the same sex parent.

Many different factors can affect parenting skills, and the child ends up not getting what he needs under these stressful conditions. Whenever stress occurs, learning and education messages become skewed, and there is a subcultural rerouting of family norms for soliciting feelings, feeling good, with rationalizing, opposing and arguing about it when the child finally does get some positive self identity, even if not fully masculine or feminine. Now, if the little boy or girl has a vulnerability, as expected in the vicissitudes of mankind, and *gives up* trying to be what their identifiable sex calls for, they then recognize their own deviations which now come to them as their own "identity" to be embraced, rejected, reinforced, or passively tolerated by the culture surrounding the child.

Optimal development requires transcendental love from *two genders*, "a mom and a dad," preferably. If not forthcoming, ersatz "love" will be sought with potential deformation more and more probably. The developing child's need for love is undeniable. He or she will find it somewhere - or what seems like it. Optimal development requires genuine love which is transcendently fulfilling - a difficult accomplishment unless the parents (a *man* and a *woman*) are aware of the transcendentals and consciously project them onto the child experiencing the needs for matter, identity, truth, oneness, good and beauty. Dads especially must project matter, truth and good; moms must project identity, oneness and beauty. Both of them must be cognizant of and articulate to each other and to the child the needs for the processes of giving matter, identity, truth, oneness, good and beauty. Such is the most one can do in a family. Excluding the correction of biochemical aberrations, a child can not be better helped to participate in the universe as he or she is created to do than by the transcendental development of his or her own gender. For this, the boy being male needs a masculine father; the girl being female needs a feminine mother.

It is difficult to get developmental facts from adults and from children, especially children. Contrary to common belief, children are often unreliable, do make up stories, and get involved in spontaneous and reinforced fantasies which they come finally to believe as true. The phenomenon of "False Witness Syndrome" bears this out, and the "pretend friends" of children are well known.

In addition, simple habit development phenomena become involved. Everything has its potential habitual physiological, ingraining possibility: Cigarettes, alcohol, preferred clothes, ways of touching, movements, and whatever feels good. This also applies to certain types of talking, walking, prancing, inflecting voice, and just routine phraseologies. Indeed, one can remember all sorts of stages which kids go through in a habit-pattern mode. We are creatures of habit. Once the behavior is induced, repetition-compulsion,

habit and addiction are always possible, especially if whatever it is has an excitement or "feel-good" aspect to it. And when the society has a perverse sense of freedom in which anything goes (messages are unclear), then anything can become a habit because nothing is prohibited.

Insatiable body massage is included here as an example of compulsion for homosexuals in that anal stimulation and back-of-the-throat gagging become gratifying, invigorating and titillating. Personal hygiene can give a clue to the "feel-good" pressuring-rotating-rubbing-gasping repetition compulsion occurring in homosexuals. It is physically possible to create such a response almost anywhere on the body. That is, by gentle rotating, stretching and pressuring, a self-stimulating response pattern occurs which begins as an irritation but quickly becomes a pleasurable stimulation, at least in terms of an itch-like relief. Warm water trickling on the skin is comparable as occurs in "golden showers" wherein homosexuals urinate on each other. In some instances, pain is induced and it too can become perversely pleasurable leading to sadomasochistic activity. Even hurting can become habit. Whatever, areas of the body become "sexualized" and the stimulation is habituated. The anus is where this often occurs as well as the vagina, the throat, breasts, penis, clitoris and other areas. Thus, even self-induced massage behavior becomes habit. And in no way can any of this be called normal.

While others gratefully want to seek deeper understanding, homosexuals claim to know all they need to know about homosexuality: "I'm here; I've got it; and that's all that matters." While their answers may vary from the genetic, the learned, the cultural to the just contemporary "sexual outlet" phenomena, the latter being the latest cultural fad, habit, or addiction as the case may be, the explanation "I was born that way" is too simplistic to fit with biologic, psychologic or social complexity.

If it were not for repetition-compulsion, habit-patterns, affectional need distortions, and neurobiological composition, it is doubtful that homosexual flamboyancy would be observed.

Cultural Reinforcement ("Messages")

Given a culture which floods its youth with exciting, over-stimulating messages, it is no wonder that brain chemistry is altered to seek more and more excitement while interpersonal relationships become less and less familial and more and more influenced by the skewed psychology infecting television and other media.

There is an interesting sociopathic trend, evident from Kinsey's studies. While less than 2% of the general population is homosexual, Kinsey finds that 10% of incarcerated criminals are homosexual (He included 1,500 convicted sex offenders and 1,200 male and female prostitutes in his study).

This may explain how negative aspects about homosexuality get censored by the press because it is a self-serving censorship consistent with being nearly 95% homosexual themselves in concert with Kinsey's psychopathic correlation. The press' censorship of the negative side of homosexuality is violent in and of itself. The press' punch-pulling, powder puff patronizing unjournalistic, the very existence of journalism is doubted in my mind.

A telling example is the press's treatment of homosexual Jeffrey Dahmer, the Milwaukee man who killed other men in his unrestrained sexualized mutilating of other homosexuals including eating their organs. No homophobe he. No hate crimes here. No Mapplethorpe photographs either. Liberals' freedom run amok! Pure sadomasochism at its acme. Can you image the press's response if Dahmer had done that to women or Blacks, or Jews, or other minorities? The lack of protest by gay groups (the cult) is amazing. Does their silence tell anything about what is acceptable?

Another example is the infamous Florida gay cult dentist, who infected several of his younger and older patients with HIV apparently to dramatize the plight of AIDS. It appears he believed that people "would care more" if younger and older individuals got AIDS. So he injected them with HIV. This gay cult killer died of AIDS, and did what he did as a brain damaged individual with a cognitive derangement (remember HIV is a neurotropic virus). Imagine the press' reaction if a straight had injected HIV into homosexuals.

Furthermore, sociopathic actions of homosexual militant groups, ACT UP and the Lesbian Avengers, are well sanitized if not overlooked. Having gained confidence from the lack of criticism from colleagues filling the press and media, gays provide plenty of cultural reinforcement which is massive, protective, "recruiting" (yes!), and patronizing.

The press is criminal in its selective coverage of news and in its protection and patronizing of the homosexual cult. As Kinsey showed, homosexuality is clearly associated with criminality.

If it were not for **PATRONIZM** (what journalism has become), some homosexuals would not have become an emboldened cult group (gays) culturally reinforcing themselves.

Recruitment

To mention the recruiting phenomena is to irk homosexuals. Most just blatantly deny it exists.

I first became aware of it when a psychotic adolescent AIDS patient in a psychiatric hospital unit expressed his intention of wanting to be a "recruiter." This created an interesting ethical discussion about other patients' rights to know because my patient, in his delusions and impaired central nervous system, wanted to seduce and be involved with

other males to convince them they were homosexual, something which appeared to have been done to him. In discussions with other staff, a homosexual psychologist elaborated on the recruitment phenomenon. He acknowledged its existence and expressed concern about the dangerousness of this boy's doing such, especially with being positive for HIV. The psychologist said that in homosexual lingo, recruiting is called "chicken hawking," and "chicken hawks" are those sent out to recruit unhappy, sensitive, depressed, and very needy youths, of whom there are millions. In fact, everybody goes through such moments, especially as youths. Consider yourself lucky if, like the calls for little birds, you did not get the maladaptive identity doubting (homosexual) messages at vulnerable times in your development.

Males seem not to like the recruiting concept. They tend to resist the idea that they could be recruited. Some suspect, however, that Jose Zuniga, the Sixth Army's 1992 Soldier of the Year, was recruited. Indeed, if the Zuniga "recruitment" is factual, it is a clear reason why military ranks ought to remain exclusively heterosexual. There should be an exhaustive military and congressional investigation into what happened to him and anyone who becomes homosexual in the military. *The gay cult plans, schemes, and targets people not only to harass but to recruit.* When a needy, unhappy, vulnerable person is identified, the gay cult, as all cults, go after him.

In the military, sometimes recruiting fails and homosexual rape is much more common than the military services are willing to acknowledge. The Army's Judge Advocate General Corps' Criminal Law Division provided the details of 102 homosexual crimes on Army personnel (100 males and 2 females). Two-thirds of the crimes occurred on base, most in barracks, latrines and showers; 84% were forced upon non-consenting victims; 49 (47%) of the crimes were committed against children; 62% were committed by senior personnel against more junior victims; violence and weapons were employed in one-fifth of the cases; 5 of the homosexual soldiers were HIV positive; and many of the victims were young soldiers who were drunk, asleep or otherwise vulnerable. The Army's study concluded that: "It appears the crime rate among homosexuals may be substantially higher than among the military population at large." The homosexual crime rate is 5.40 per 1,000 soldiers per year, a high figure even though many offenses are often overlooked if there are no unusual or aggravating circumstances. In summary, if the "recruiting" fails, it obviously turns into a crime of sex harassment at least and rape at worst.

Some attempts are pretty gross. Massachusetts Representative Gerry Studds plied a 16 year old congressional page with grape juice and vodka. After recruiting seductions, a strong pitch is usually made that, "You are one of us too. It's great to be one of us. You will grow to like and love us!" This kid was able not to get recruited even if he got seduced. You did not read much about this because Gerry Studds was not a priest.

Women anent recruiting are different. There was a rather attractive female medical student who was having, what we call in psychiatry, a "homosexual panic" in that she had

suddenly found herself in homosexual liaison with another attractive female medical student. The two had hit it off real well in the best of female fun and togetherness. They studied together and dated men together, and had what superficially appeared to be just plain fun. However, while out to dinner together, giggling over wine, they went back to one's apartment and got into pleasant stroking games and massages which suddenly became sexualized, with erotic, stimulating "oh, let's not stop" passion. This sexual outlet experience became repetitive and exciting in its secrecy, but also troubling especially when my patient discovered that this was only new for her but not for her first homosexual lover who turned out to be a very experienced lesbian involved in recruiting activities for many years. A whole lesbian network was available to her now, including physician-instructors at her school and others whom the recruiter knew in other circles. At first, my young patient was panicked about what was happening to her, bewildered about what to do about it and totally naive about the recruiting phenomenon. She was dismayed that I had a word for it. Yet, in a way, it was comforting to her that the situation was not out of control as she had thought, but had been orchestrated. In the final analysis, it did not seem to make much difference that her identity had been co-opted in a most perfidious, calculating "set up" scheme by a heterosexually masquerading woman who was now her sexual partner.

It seems that women, once recruited, are so involved in the sexual outlet phenomena that it makes no difference anymore. Once turned on, they seem not to care even when they know it happened. On the other hand, men seem to reject the idea that they could be so vulnerable and get irked at the possibility of being recruited.

When it comes to sexual outlets and getting pleasure, there is no doubt that a male knows more what to do with a male than a female does, and a female knows more about what to do with a female than a male does. The recruiters rely on this. They know how to be "sexual outlets" feigning innocent "it's sweeping us away" and "we can't help this" all the while working you over to "feel good." Recruiters are always on the make to set up a vulnerable victim.

When erotic, seductive "feel-good" overtures are compelled with a lessening of inhibitions by alcohol or whatever and sweet-mouthing nubile reassurances in combination with pseudoaffectionate support and nonthreatening camaraderie are given to another who happens to be in a vulnerable state of emotional neediness and loneliness, and to that add a habituating, flamboyant prancing and squealing good time with cheerleading-type of reinforcement ("You did it! You liked it! You are one of us! Relax! Wasn't it great!"), all orchestrated by an experienced recruiting "sexual outlet" man or woman, homosexual activity becomes a ready, superficially satisfactory alternative to the hassling that goes on with the opposite sex. To pretend this does not happen and to deny this as a reality is unworthy of a thinking person unless suffering from a cognitive deficiency of one kind or another.

In the Gay Community News of February 15-21, 1987, is a clear example of the recruiting braggadocio phenomenon:

We shall sodomize your sons, emblems of your feeble masculinity, of your shallow dreams and vulgar lies. We shall seduce them in your schools, in your dormitories, in your gymnasiums, in your locker rooms, in your sports arenas, in your seminaries, in your youth groups, in your movie theater bathrooms, in your House of Congress, wherever men are with men together. Your sons shall become our minions and do our bidding. They shall be recast in our image. They will come to crave us and adore us.

This is not considered repeatable by our "patron" (not "free") press.

If it were not for recruiting, gay cult members would most likely lead lonely lives.

Cognitive Dysfunction

It is very common among homosexuals to see overtures where they are not. This is characteristic for the paraphilias and paraphilia-related disorders in that body image distortions occur; people are thought to be making passes when they are not; others have an extremely overexuberant professing of normalcy; and their body parts are believed to be extraordinarily special in one way or another. Numbers are misperceived and exaggerated. Extreme self-focusing compels an orgasm addiction ever seeking more and more vigorous ways to "sex outlet" with parading and almost anything else to enhance response. The dangerousness of the preferred sex acts is also misperceived in a cognitive denial which is clearly pathological.

Loved Ones

Homosexuals in cognitive dysfunction typically cannot grasp the injury, pain and sadness families have, even when the family has made an adaptation to it. Family response is interesting. Recently, election-losing Barry Goldwater, Mr. Conservative, came out in a pro-homosexual mode, no doubt because he discovered he has a grandson and another family member who are homosexuals. There is actually nothing wrong with loving and helping in sympathy a loved one or friend who develops this disorder. We love our loved ones no matter what.

However, there is a subtle helpless guilt pressure and shaming of friends and families into not only accepting a person-to-person homosexual disorder but into a selling

out of one's own cultural normalcy for overt subcultural deviancy. This is flagrant self-pity pressure. The overt personal acceptance required by some homosexuals violate decent mutual respect when, at the same time, they demand the acceptance and noncondemnation of the gay cult. Secure homosexuals do not require such.

But, even so, to reject the homosexual cult is not to reject the personhood of anyone, except that some gays force it to be that way. Not only do they require a personal acceptance, which is humane in the essence of Christianity, but straights must deny their feelings. Straights are not allowed to be themselves or admit or profess that "I am bothered, puzzled, bewildered and in anguish over my loved one being homosexual."

When a loved one is found to believe he is homosexual, there is no problem accepting his humanbeingness or his personhood. There is no problem accepting the composed prosocial positive contributing consistent with Western Civilization. There is no problem accepting him as adapted, adjusted and resigned. But he cannot be accepted as a recruiter or as recruited or as a cult member or as cognitively deficient and socially deviant. When a loved one has it, no doubt one must accept "the least of one's brethren," and that is a *double entendre*.

These intense subtle pressures to agree with gay culthood are so scheming and such a violation of the intrinsic personhood of normals, it is amazing it has gone on for so long without being identified and protested. No doubt this is a contagious form of cognitive deficiency disarming those of us who want to love and nurture positive relationships with family members and friends who happen to be homosexual. It can and must be done, but homosexuals too must compromise in that the gay cult is unacceptable.

Gay Pride

Another cognitive deficiency is evident in the gay pride "paraphilia" parades and other episodes which I call "homosexual coma" or "massive cognitive blockade." Really, why the parades of flaunting, taunting, flaming faggots with chains, whips, nakedness and phallics galore? Can this be what they mean by "privacy"? A cognitive distortion, no doubt. Why the stalking, harassing violence by gay activists, or is this cognized as "putting forward one's best"? To view these gay togetherness events is like watching a bunch of unsupervised juvenile delinquents at a Halloween party. As an incredibly bright homosexual has indicated to me: "Whatever this homosexuality is that I have and wherever or however I got it, I do not have to be sociopathic about it. The more it is normal for anyone, the less they have to be damn fools about it..."

For a nonhomosexual "normal" person with any other disorder, they, cognitively intact, try to "fit in" and do not go around broadcasting it, nor do they demand the world imitate it or pretend it does not exist. This ostentatious denial is truly abnormal in the

cognitive sense. It is one thing to be homosexual, but it is another to form a crazy cult about it, which is a clear manifestation of cognitive dysfunction or, same thing, Gay Pride.

Marriage

The desire for marriage by homosexuals falls under the realm of cognitive deficiency. In the effort to obtain respect, marriage is demanded. But marriage won't do it either. This desire for marriage is forced altruism, a facade, an imposture, a pathetic attempt to appropriate in name what they really want and are angry about in the depths of their souls but cannot speak out and weep for it truthfully - to be a real family man or a real family woman or even at a more basic level to be a real male or real female in concert with the animal kingdom of which mankind is a part.

Never more clear is the fact that marriage is a sacrament of a special significance including sexuality as a reproductive unitive togetherness never capable of being duplicated by an "under the roof together" style of domestic partnership. Love by any definition goes beyond what is done to bodies. Love is person-to-person and not orgasm-to-orgasm. Love means sacrifice, going without and putting up with each other's ugliness in a reciprocating "Beauty and the Beast" relationship. Orgasm may be part of it, but actually is irrelevant to the total oneness of togetherness. There are trying moments, but then, sacrifice makes all well in that one willingly gives up or gives in, and you both laugh and giggle at the amazing craziness of human togetherness and are thankful that you have each other clean, free, giving and able to get on with the transcendental intactness of each other.

Anything less is a troubled state of social and family dysfunction. If love is merely orgasm, then who wants to mess with a fumbling guy or a deadbeat gal? Someone of the same sex can really make your head spin. If sex is merely orgasm, one wants someone (anyone or anything) who knows all the tricks. If orgasm is supreme, then violated is the capacity for meaningful relationship and there can be no marriage.

Homosexuals-as-parents is appropriate to mention here, a phenomena about which it is difficult to feel secure. *After the Ball*, a book by two homosexuals, Marshall Kirk (a neuropsychiatrist) and Hunter Madsen (a communications expert), reveals much unless newer editions have been sanitized. It states that up to one-third of homosexuals admit to sadomasochism, anonymous sex in public restrooms, and "mere wallowings in filth." The grossness of gay life is stated to be a contradiction to meaningful relationships. The reading between the lines is very sad because one senses a plaintive plea to be and to have a genuine family, but even though the words are known, it cannot be pulled off because of the anger and unconscious animus against themselves and the family which did not give the needed messages.

There are exceptions, and I have occasionally witnessed homosexual couples who appear not to fit into the themes of this book. It seems that there are instances where a concerted effort to persist as a stable couple can occur.

My experience with children of homosexual coupling is that in the secrecy of their therapy sessions and at other times in family sessions they make very clear how much they hate and are hurt if not disgusted by the relationships ongoing in their household. This is in marked contrast, of course, to the overt expressions of neutrality and positivity when speaking to reporters - having to live in the same household, what else can one expect them to say for publication. The Children's Defense Fund, which has taken children from their parents, has more work to do about gay parents than the traditional. It will prove interesting to see the shenanigans of the Children's Defense Fund once the children of gay parents realize that they can sue to leave; Regardless, there is a tension in gay parenting that is different than that of a traditional mother and father together.

"Marriage" for homosexuals is an Orwellian and dishonest appropriation of a word redefining it to suit the cult... much like what has been done with the word "gay."

What Is Not Understood

Most cult homosexuals (gays), unable to remember the origin of not being at one with their gender, usually take the easy way out and, with like-afflicted cheerleaders recruiting and reinforcing, convince themselves (a variant of "false memory" or "pretend friend"?) that this is how they were born. Indeed, proclaiming to be born that way saves the pain of thinking it through. Rather than deep questions, shallow answers are offered. And please accept the flamboyant superficial thinking!

Unable to aspire to the other sex, they seek more and more erotic substitutes without sustained satisfaction. Unable to accept responsibility for unsanitary habits and disdaining Shakespeare's "Unnatural deeds do breed unnatural troubles," they make others pay for rampant predominating diseases and high mortality rates. Unable to tolerate meager numbers, they cling to Kinsey's discredited 10% figure from prison populations, and groupie-like parades pretend "We are everywhere."

Unable to reproduce, they recruit young boys and men (females too) with bland, vigorous enthusiasm for a dangerous, suffering, high mortality filled life-style. Unable to be comfortable with simple privacy, they assault the privacy of others with disrupting activities including people's religious ceremonies - something only a **CULT** would do. Unable to tolerate rejection by others as a part of freedom, they proffer flaming faggotism epiphenomena that evoke more repugnance. Unable to acknowledge an emotion-filled inability to think, they ragingly deny to others the opportunity to make opposing rational claims. Unable to control feelings, they will not allow others to have theirs. Unable to

understand male person-to-person heterosexual bonding, they respond to it with massive cruising unperson-to-unperson for any male possible. Unable to tolerate heterosexual grouping and professing of traditional manhood, they live out a caricature of it by "acting up," a phrase quite appropriate to the childhood unable to be left behind. Unable to like what is likeable, they prohibit others from disliking what is dislikeable.

Unable to recognize their own rejecting of normals, gays cannot abide rejection by normals. Unable to admit to their own phobia of heterosexuality, they project it onto others as a fiction called "homophobia." Unable to get the traditional good life benefits from the gay lifestyle, they still try to impose it as equal to the traditional. Unable to be secure, gays want sympathetic understanding and tolerance they themselves are unwilling to give. Unable to be secure in a prosocial accommodation to others, they use "come out/come on showboating" to feign how well the world adapts to them. Unable to be secure in their own being, they develop a repertoire of "look at me" maneuvers that mock genuine being, and when ignored or mocked back, they end up angrily offended. Unable to tolerate cure of their disorder, they resist therapeutic efforts from finding the "heterosexual within." Finally, that any of the above cannot be understood perhaps more than anything else confirms the abnormality of the gay way of "thinking" (which often is not thinking at all but rather "feeling" and cognitive dysfunction). If it were not for deformed reasoning and cognitive deficiencies, gays would not be able to stand themselves - no doubt there are exceptions.

Political Correctness and Response

As judges and leaders abandon tradition and all that implies, public authority has become less and less concerned with personal morality as well as totally ignorant of the community significance and social impact of nontraditional policies in the long run. A great resistance to awareness of negative potential accompanies anyone who is psychologically invested in promoting the gay life-style or in pretending that its toleration has no impact.

The political correctness problem devolves down to this: Any group which uses a primary characteristic of itself as a means of intimidation, manipulation or obtaining special treatment,

1. is in power itself;
2. is prejudiced;
3. is actually inferior and/or incapable of competing without special help.

This applies not only to homosexuals, but also to Blacks, Jews, feminists and any other group which emphasizes its own special characteristics to manipulate and get its way. Groups which embrace political correctness are autovisual and can only see themselves

and their particular characteristics to a self-dehumanizing degree. One can put on the best humane effective program about anything, and these bigoted and ignorant people will only comment that one of their type was not on the dais. *The rule should be that no groups pressing for diversity will be heeded unless the group itself is as diverse as it is requesting.* Basically, one cannot acknowledge differences by accentuating them because to do so is not diversity but divisiveness.

Organizations

One sees a plethora of organizations now calling themselves "gay." There are homosexual journalist societies, homosexuals in the military, and groups forming wherever to clamor and demonstrate "we are everywhere." Heady in having coopted the press and tragically making whatever they do to be equivalent to personhood, the American "give or take" process has become "give but do not take." No dissenting views will be allowed. A news blackout is given by the powers of the press who are grossly intimidated by homosexual colleagues who brook no challenge. If not in compliance with the new homosexual tyrants, ACT UP and the Lesbian Avengers will intimidate the wimps of our allegedly free and courageous press (what a laugh!). Finally, consolidating their power and feigning victimhood, political organizations are competently run, especially the Human Rights Campaign Fund, a Washington, D.C.-based homosexual political organization with an elite financial fund raising arm called the Federal Club with chapters in at least twenty-four U.S. cities. "Don't tread on me" has become tyranny as used. This is not America as it is supposed to be. For the gay cult, homosexuals are a special class of untouchables for which a malignant group process works too: the sense of power, of togetherness, of strength, of dilution of responsibility, of immunity, and to hell with everyone else. Add end-of-the-world scare (AIDS) scenarios, and you have cult.

The politically correct response takes many forms. A recent one is from the University of Minnesota Board of Regents which established equal status for domestic partners for homosexual couples but not heterosexual couples because the latter can get married. The University of Minnesota's effort provides a paradigm for a society which values homosexual domestic partnerships equal to traditional couples in that provisions are made for facilities, care and retirement plans to any registered partner in a "committed relationship of indefinite duration." Another is the Massachusetts Supreme Court giving a prominent surgeon and her lesbian lover approval to adopt a child. And, in Colorado the three major newspapers totally distorted the Colorado State Referendum on Homosexuals, in a contrived, contentious, and pro-homosexual manner. There are no real newspapers in Colorado - patronpapers, not newspapers.

Fabrications

Of interest is that the self-esteem and intactness of these groups depends on *hostility* from without. They *depend* on being victims. Practicing and working by fabrication for victimhood gives self-fulfillment. There are many examples of this, certainly not limited to the gay community. The Afro-Americans have a severe problem with individuals fabricating stories about being abused, such as Twana Brawley, for one.

A homosexual recently claimed to have been beaten up by Marines, and his story was subsequently found to have been an *agent provocateur* type of fabrication. In the book *Conduct Unbecoming, Gays and Lesbians in the U.S. Military* by Randy Shilts, an HIV positive homosexual reporter from San Francisco, a name was appropriated off the Vietnam memorial and this dead soldier was decreed to be a homosexual without any confirmation. This is not mere cognitive deficiency, but is a dehumanizing exploitation of a dead man - how low can gays get? Some women have claimed to be raped as a means of enhancing their victimhood. Indeed, the whole evolution of the concept of "sex harassment" from feminists is a transparent attempt to be victims under any and all conditions. Anita Hill (or Twana Brawley grown up)... little need be said about how she gained status as a victim. It appears that the stakes are getting low, lower, and lowest. All individuals who get caught up in this, be they Blacks, feminists, Jews or homosexuals, end up subverting their own being, which is a commonality giving an understanding as to why one often finds these groups linked together in professing their victimhood, claiming redress and demanding the accused "victimizers" to repent and to save them from the inferiority of their own making. Actually, the "victims" are the only ones who can extricate themselves but do not want to because it is to their advantage to stay there. Fabrication is their mainstay. They trap themselves. This is typical of cults!

Fabrications are totally discrediting... but are embraced by these groups and by the patronpapers which are too cowardly to speak the truth. Fabrications are the clearest sign of inferiority, while fabrications of victimhood are pathetic but do have their purpose to create a pity-filled correctness. *Nevertheless, lying for one's own kind IS inferiority.*

The Practice of Veiled Victimhood

Political correctness is the practice of veiled. victimhood. It's only value is to remind of the need for justice which can only be based on the here-and-now, and not on past offenses to the deceased unless so intrinsic to the living that the impact of past injustice is directly related to present injury.

Political correctness is the practice of veiled victimhood. Its maliciousness is in its self-fulfilling inferiority linked to a poor-me psychology, which means to everyone that the person proclaiming it is unequal. In other words, *one can not be a victim and equal at the same time*. Thus it is functionally a self-hatred projected out and reflected back like the image in a mirror.

Political correctness is the practice of veiled victimhood. It creates a guilt squeeze and an aggravated "I am a victimizer" mentality in those providing it. The weak, insecure, somber, guilt-ridden, self-doubting take the blame themselves, grandiosely thinking they are so powerful that they can correct what is internal to the victim.

Like sex for porno stars, political correctness perversely validates the giver, and for the receiver or porno observer, it is pure fantasy habituated without any validation except as pretend victim. In a real way, political correctness is pornography without the sex.

The benefits obtained by political correctness (PC) are material but always temporary, and the cost is spiritual in both the giver and the receiver. The giver of PC is in a self-betrayal, self-rejecting state of mind, angry as hell that he hasn't the courage to speak against the scam emasculating him as he pimps for those others. The receiver of PC is in a paralyzing, low self-esteem, prostrated state, unable to establish a therapeutic alliance, unable to compete meritoriously, and angry as hell that he will never feel equal while begging for special help but knows he cannot stop. Both giver and receiver are sustained by it and cannot function without it. PC is an incredibly miserable state for the giver and the receiver. That homosexuals and anyone else want political correctness is a cognitive deficiency.

Some special groups have a history of involuntary oppression which may justify special consideration and "heightened scrutiny" by the law. These groups are called "protected classes" ("PC" again). But, unfortunately, the psychological meaning of all this often is the negation of "innocent until proven guilty" with the accuser having more rights than the accused, i.e. "you stand guilty as accused until you prove yourself innocent." Ordinarily, the accused has the right to defend himself. But since PC (protected class/ political correctness) tyrants have taken over, the accused has had to assume the burden of proof - standing Western Civilization on its head.

Should homosexuals as a group be in this political correct/protected class? Obviously, they desire to be. Anyone in it is given special consideration. But the usual pathetic victims braying their victimhood have little choice in the alleged basis for their complaining: Feminists, Jews, Blacks, Hispanics, and other minorities. For homosexuals as a group, the proof of involuntary victimness is neither clear nor probable and is most likely unprovable. As a group, therefore, it does not fly. Gay groups are not reasonably demonstrable as an involuntary class to be protected. As a gay group, homosexuality is a cult. The people have figured this out and consistently reject special status for gays unless grossly manipulated by the press. Judges need to be as smart and understand that when

they rule for gays, they are ruling for a cult. The judges so inclined seem to take comfort in being tolerant, but in reality are so broadminded that they are nothing but mindless mirrors reflecting the vilest few who are always prancing about in front of them. Genuine justice is rejected by such judges and fairness, truth and objectivity are deemed irrelevant.

So should homosexuals as individuals be in political correct/protected class grouping if decreed handicapped or to have a disorder or a disease? Well, people with genuine handicaps, diseases, etc., fight to overcome, conquer, or at least control and adapt as normally as one can to whatever they have. They do not offer it to others to promote it as a life-style, and they surely do not pretend it to be normal or desire special privileges to have it.

Do homosexuals need special consideration? Probably yes, if the individual *wants to get well and act in accordance* with standards of Western Civilization. But definitely not if a disease state is *denied or if it is a part of a cult* demanding special rights to carry on, offend, demean and mock the rest of the world.

The trouble with political correctness is that it is the wrong message for society to send about anybody. To send it is to reinforce the sense of inferiority, is to condition more victimhood, is to create the figurative "glass ceiling" in the mind of the person himself. No rational case can be made that political correctness is for the common good. Actually, it is insulting. Its community impact is an epidemic of inferiority, fabrication and hostile demands for unjust compensation.

In any case, political correctness means that only liberals get to embrace the contemptible Supreme Court Justice Brennan's norms that "someone else's unfamiliar or repellant practice" deserves the same tolerance and that "liberty must include the freedom not to conform." Conservatives are not allowed to espouse those points of view. Conservatives' repellant and nonconforming practices get no tolerance.

Perhaps, the biggest question about political correctness is: Do the standards of political correctness apply to straights? To Catholics? To conservatives? After all, we keep hearing about how small a minority those groups are.

It is this lack of tolerance and respect for straight life that gets to us straights. It is not fear or the homophobia fantasy. It is not anger. Straights are bothered by the taunting, mocking and violation of personhood which straights feel and recognize in gay phenomena. Really, who is offending whom? Is not what some militant gays do a form of hate-crime, a taunting minstrel show of sorts, a demeaning attack on conservatives which is as much a prejudiced violence as the mocking of Jews, Hispanics, Blacks, Catholics, etc.? Do not traditional people bleed too? Do not real family people feel attacked in basic life-styles and personhood? Do not conservative people feel diminished and prejudiced by the hatefulness of the homosexual cult? Essentially, mockery begets mockery, and political correctness is no answer. Political correctness is exploitation. It is "privilege."

Disease State and a Cult

Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary defines a disease as: "A definite morbid process having a characteristic of a train of symptoms; it may affect the whole body or any of its parts, and its etiology, pathology, and prognosis may be known or unknown." The same source defines a disorder as: "A derangement or abnormality of function; a morbid physical or mental state."

One must decide if there is enough evidence to categorize homosexuality as a disease. To this observer, the disease seems obvious in terms of the biological, psychological, social, cultural constituents to its etiology. It seems obviously to be a disease in its symptomatic pathology (psychological, social and physical). And it seems obvious to be a disease in that its morbidity and outcome are hardly benign.

I believe homosexuality is a variant of the disease identified and inculcated by Alfred Kinsey: Orgasmic outlet disorder, or outletism, or jaculasis (from the Latin jacul - "to throw" from which "ejaculate" is derived). Jaculasis is an involuntary emotional repetition-compulsion of ejaculation voluntarily professed to be essential to one's identity. It includes all paraphilias and related disorders.

Homosexuality is jaculasis confined to the same gender and is bi-defined: (1) individually and (2) culturally.

At the individual, personal level, the homosexual has a deficiency of transcendental intactness, and it appears that homosexuals cannot control themselves having capitulated to a repetition compulsion (habit and addiction) which they have unfortunately acquired

1. through developmental factors not their own fault,
2. through acquiescing in gratification linked to recruitment, and
3. to social and cultural reinforcements.

The presence of involuntary emotional expression renders this individual to have a psychiatric disorder or disease. There should be no condemnation here. The person must be helped and loved.

At the social and cultural level (not personal and individual), gay homosexuality is *a cult* —*a group mind-control process of persuading and influencing by untruthful excitement to destructive ends involving prevarication, deceptive recruitment, intimidating group pressures, and prohibition of leaving.* This cult dimension is to be fought against.

If one wants to overcome it, it is a disease, and current handicap laws apply. If one wants to espouse it, it is a cult to be labeled as such and guarded against.

Treatment

Definitive treatment should be undertaken by experts who are not cult members. The rest should treat homosexuals with truth, gentleness, hope and prayer.

We've all had gratifying experiences in working with and along side homosexuals who have been able to control themselves. Unlike the AIDS brain-damaged murdering homosexual dentist, others actually are heroes usually having AIDS and facing death, they develop laudable altruism sadly too late for their own longevity, but good deeds are done for others, especially other homosexuals with AIDS. As about any other person in a comparable situation, no comment need be made but "well done" and "thanks."

The treatment of loved ones and friends who are homosexual is by gentle truthfulness too. Simple elementary decency justifies support, as long as you are not required to betray your own being and that which you believe and know to be true.

It may be appropriate and perhaps the only "treatment" ever received if you are compassionately honest in expressing bewilderment and the wish that the problem was nonexistent, because you cannot help but see it in any other way except as an objective disorder. Treatment in this context also involves stating that this should not be interpreted as rejection. State that your beliefs are not equivalent to rejection. State that you are not trying to provoke anymore than you hope they are not trying to provoke you. Provocative responses to you need to be identified as a self-righteous contrivance to play the victim and "better than thou." One needs to clarify that you are not going to be a party to that and will neither agree nor be manipulated into agreeing. Their inability to accept your belief system in regards to homosexuality goes beyond your accepting them as human beings. Actually, requiring you to betray your beliefs is to confuse you with your beliefs, a common source of many relationship problems.

Prevention is the most important treatment by giving proper messages to children and protecting them from "wrong calls." These messages should be given by both a mother and father. Early socialization experiences and interpersonal relationships are extremely important as well as the biological predispositions. A wise parent will attend to the task of helping steer the child into a direction thought most appropriate, most conducive to mature, productive adulthood. What one says and does can alter brain chemistry. It can alter it permanently. Still, the individual autonomy of a person can assert itself. A person can stop to focus on his or her identity and reinforce the style to which one is accustomed, which is believed is in his or her best interest, or which one is conditioned to believe is best. Also, the person can take a hard look with right reason and make efforts to disengage from those behaviors, fantasies, urges, and self-perceptions, deemed negative, inappropriate, detrimental, or out of synchrony with nature and the planet. *An autonomous choice is possible. The civil right to be anti evolutionary is superseded by the natural right to be in*

concert with the planet and the earth. There is indeed a right to choose and professional therapy can be effective for the individual.

There is no treatment for the gay cult except to call it for what it is and to insist the cult has no special protection requirements and should not get any. For the homosexual cult, gentle, persistent and repetitive truth is needed.

In the final analysis, treatment will really never be understood or effective until deeper studies on the origin of homosexuality are completed. Indeed, there is an explosion of behavioral neurophysiology ongoing, and homosexuality is considered off limits because of the gay cult. The beginning of embryos and cloning will be studied but the beginning of homosexuality will not. Amazing. *About homosexuality, genuinely scientific studies are needed to be funded and performed by people who want to cure disease rather than promote a cult.*

Closing Remarks

Homosexuality is a word describing a humanly created, humanly confined disorder of neurophysiological compulsive orgasm, alleged to be involuntarily preferred with one's own gender and associated with a cult status called gay.

Transcendental Analysis

A transcendental analysis of homosexuality helps understanding.

It is an excessive emphasis on the materialness of one's existence. The sole *matter* is a simple biochemical neurophysiologic reflex (orgasm) which has overtaken the totality of the individual. It is an *identity* incapable of acting in confluence with total humanity because its style of living is primarily composed of acted out feelings rather than thought out behavior. Its truth is distorted by fantasy and is not in confluence with realistic appraisal of oneself and the world. The cognitive dysfunction present creates unwitting untruths at best and outright denial and prevarication at worst. It is not *oneness* because of the basic selfishness and limited desirables sought rendering it fundamentally antisocial and anticomunity. It is not *good* because one's choices are not choices at all but reflex unnatural conditioning. It has no *beauty* because it neither brings out the best in the person himself with the disorder nor in those around him. It is ugly.

In summary, homosexuality is a transcendental deficiency state. The dignity, unity, integrity, identity and spirituality of a human being are violated by homosexuality. It is less being.

Individual vs. Gay Phenomenon (Cult)

The homosexual *individual* has an inestimable worth and value as *all others*. He can adapt and perform well in most any situation as any other, provided he can focus on the requisite tasks.

The homosexual *phenomenon* (in contrast to the individual person) is the gay movement comprised of special groups pleading *special* cases, exerting *special* powers, demanding *special* needs, and insisting on normalcy but denying those with opposing views the same consideration demanded for themselves as is done by all cults.

The entire homosexual construct is "ex plained" by a rationalization that is required only because it is unnatural, antievolutionary and antiplanet. If it were not so out of touch with the universe in its personal pain, in its personal cognitive distortions, in its dysregulation, in its community dysphoria, and in its profession of normalcy, it would not have to be explained and so much would not need to be made of it.

In spite of the aggregation of biological deformation, of family dysfunction, of social conditioning, of media manipulation, of authority based reinforcement, of personal witnessing, of productive adaptations, of acquisitions of potential power, of victimization, of self-aggrandizing, of overwhelmingly positive public relations, of massive censorship of negatives, of abnormal-fantasied fabrications, of lack of capacity for embarrassment, and of an incomprehension of humility, homosexuality should not be understood as anything but a

1. personal disorder;
2. a disease state if morbid;
3. a group cult called "gay"; and
4. an environmental behavioral pollutant in the scheme of nature, the animal kingdom, the planet, and the universe.

If it were not a problem so close to all our homes, all would overtly reject it. For most, the conscience does reject it and being rejects it and one can be as true to oneself as much as the homosexual proclaims to be true to himself. Yet, never should this involve a dehumanizing, depersonalizing rejection of the homosexual himself who needs our love, support, help and tolerance as a person, as a human being, as a child of God, as our brother or our sister in Christ.

References

1. Combating Cult Mind Control by Stephen Hassan (Park Street Press, 1988).
2. Out Of The Shadows - Understanding Sexual Addiction by Patrick Carnes, CompCare Publications, 1983.
3. The Homosexual Person: New Thinking In Pastoral Care by John F. Harvey, Ignatius Press. 1987.
4. Homosexuality And Hope -A Psychologist Talks About Treatment And Change by Gerard Van Den Aardweg, Servant Books, 1985.
5. Shadow In The Land - Homosexuality In America by William Dannemeyer, Ignatius Press, 1989.
6. Reparative Therapy Of Male Homosexuality: A New Clinical Approach by Joseph Nicolosi, Jason Aronson. Inc., 1991.
7. After The Ball by Marshall Kirk and Hunter Madsen. Penguin Books, 1989.
8. Kinsey Sex And Fraud -The Indoctrination Of A People by Judith A. Reisman and Edward W. Eichel. Lochinvar, Inc., 1990.
9. Myth of Neurosis - Overcoming The illness Excuse by Garth Wood, Harper & Rowe, 1983.
10. Between The Sexes -Foundations For A Christian Ethics Of Sexuality by Lisa Sowle Cahill, Fortress Press, 1985.
11. Girls And Boys - The Limits Of Non-Sexist Child Rearing by Sara Bonnett Stein, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1983.
12. "Adventitious Change In Homosexual Behavior During Treatment Of Social Phobia With Phenelzine," by Golwyn & Seblie. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 54:1 (January 1993) 39-40.
13. "Psychobiologic Mechanisms Of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder" by Cheney, Deutsch, Kryskal, Southwyck, & Davis: Archives of General Psychiatry, Vol. 50 (April 1993) 294-305.
14. "Human Sexual Orientation - The Biologic Theories Reappraised" by Byne & Parsons,Archives of General Psychiatry, Vol. 50 (March 1993) 228-239.
15. "From Nurture To Network: Examining Links Between Perceptions Of Parenting Received In Childhood And Social Bonds Of Adulthood" by Parker, Barrett, & Hickie, American Journal of Psychiatry, 149:7 (July 1992) 877-885.
16. National Association For Research And Therapy Of Homosexuality, c/o 16542 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 416, Encino, California 91436.
17. The Lambda Report 42640 10th Street West, Lancaster, California 93534.
18. Currents In Affective Illness: "Update On Paraphilias And Paraphilia-Related Disorders" by Kafka. Vol. 12. No. 6 (June 1993).

19. Currents In Affective Illness: "Homosexuality And Heritability Update And Informed Speculation" by Pallard, Vol. 12, No. 9 (September 1993).
20. Family Research Council, 113th Street, N.W., Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.
21. "Homophobia Newspeak" by Samuel A. Nigro, M.D., Pro Life Reporter; Spring, 1993.
22. Psychogenesis: The Early Development of Gender Identity by Elizabeth Moberly (Routledge-Kegan paul. 1983).
23. Homosexuality: A New Christian Ethic by Elizabeth Moberly (James Clarke, 1983).

Samuel A. Nigro, M.O., is a child, adolescent and adult psychiatrist treating patients since 1969 in Cleveland, Ohio. He has written extensively on contemporary issues from the perspective of a free man of Western Civilization.

Price of this pamphlet B/.3.00

Bulk Order Prices:

1	\$3.00 each
10	\$2.00 each
25-100	\$1.00 each
100-999	\$0.75 each
Over 1,000	\$0.50 each